

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/654,958	09/05/2003	Jeannette Martello	7933	
75	90 11/10/2005		EXAM	INER
Terry M. Gernstein			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
1015 Salt Meadow Lane McLean, VA 22101			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			DATE MAILED: 11/10/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TALL

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/654,958	MARTELLO, JEANNETTE				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Pedro Philogene	3733				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timelill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•					
1\⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Au	igust 2005 and 16 September 20	005 and				
, 	•	action is non-final.	noo ana.				
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
<u>ا ا</u>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E.	A parto quayro, 1000 0.0. 11, 40	70 0.0. 270.				
Dispositi	on of Claims	· ····································					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-9,35-42,47-50 and 55-57</u> is/are pending in the application.							
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-9,35-40,42,47-50 and 55-57</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) — is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	it(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:							

Art Unit: 3733

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Invention I and species III, i.e. FIGS. 5A-5B, (see restriction requirement mailed on 3/15/05) was acknowledged in the reply filed on April 1, 2005. However, in the Office Action mailed 7/5/05, the examiner indicated that since the applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse. The examiner also indicated that claims 1-9,35-40,42,47,48 were withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was treated as an election made without traverse in the reply filed on April 1, 2005. The requirement was therefore made final. Only claim 41 was found to be generic and was therein rejected.

In the responses filed 8/19/05, 9/16/05, 9/30/05, applicant again did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement. However, applicant has added new claims 55-57, and further comparison of the new claims and the nonelected claims 35-38,47,48, shows that the new claims would be directed to the non-elected species I and II. Therefore, new claims 55-57 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected inventions and species.

Currently, claim 41 is still a generic claim since it reads on all the species.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grotz (5,782,865).

With respect to claim 41, Grotz discloses a surgical anchor comprising a threaded anchor body (6) having a long axis and an outer dimension. The anchor also includes a first end (3) which is adapted to accommodate a tool, as best seen in FIG.1,2. The first end (3) has an outer dimension which is greater then the outer dimension of the anchor body (6); as best seen in FIG.4A-B. The anchor (1) further includes at least one suture-accommodating passage (9) define in the first end. Tthe passage has a portion near an end of the outer dimension. The suture-accommodating passage being oriented so that neither the suture-accommodating passage nor an extension of the suture-accommodating passage intersect the long axis of the threaded anchor body; as best seen in FIG. 5.

A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Response to Amendment

Application/Control Number: 10/654,958

Art Unit: 3733

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 41 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3733

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pedro Philogene November 04, 2005 PEDRO PHILOGENE PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER